

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/712,408	MCCLELLAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kathryn Odland	3743

All Participants:

(1) Kathryn Odland.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Andrew Nilles.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 24 November 2003

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-11

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet


Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In the response to restriction requirement dated October 27, 2003 applicant replied to the restriction but did not elect a species. It was agreed that Species I includes figures 1-3 and 5 as well as 6 and 9 and that claims 1-3,5,6,8,9 and 11 read on the species elected..



Henry J. Renett
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3700